OnlineJudgments.com

USERS GUIDE

CONTENTS

Logging In —					
Select Database	_	2			
(i) Complete	_	3			
(ii) Court Name	_	3			
(iii) By Journal Name	—	4			
Basic Search	_	4			
(i) Word/Topic/Phrase	_	5			
(ii) Judge Name	_	5			
(iii) Party Name	_	6			
Advanced Search	_	6			
(i) Act & Section	_	7			
(ii) Global Search	_	8			
(iii) Equivalent Citation	_	9			
(iv) Citation Search	—	10			
(v) Quantum Fatal/Personal Injury	_	10			
Search	—	11			
Print	_	12			
Refine Search (Search Within Search)	_	12			
Bare Act	_	13			
Database	_	14			
Follow On —					
Equivalent Citation —					
Overruled Judgement —					
History	_	19			
Log Off		19			

Welcome

Online Judgments is a web based portal from DLT Publications. This Web based portal has been thoroughly overhauled to make your research experience even more easy, quick, and effective. We here at Online Judgments have converted our journals such as:-

- 1) Supreme Laws Today (SLT) (Supreme Court)
- 2) Delhi Law Times (DLT) (Delhi High Court)
- 3) Consumer Protection Judgments (CPJ) (Consumer Law)
- 4) Accident & Compensation Cases (ACC) (S.C. & All H.C.)
- 5) Divorce & Matrimonial Cases (DMC) (S.C. & All H.C.)
- 6) Banking Cases (BC) (S.C., All H.C. & DRATS)
- 7) Delhi Law Times (Criminal) (DLT CRL.) (S.C. & DHC)

Into electronic form and uploaded on web. Our Search Engine includes Head-notes as well as Full Text Judgments in replica form as per Book Print. This guide will help you understand how to navigate through the Online Judgments and how you can leverage the powerful features that Online Judgments offers to help you in your legal research. Online Judgments is quite simple, and accessible, you don't require complete education.

Step 1

Open a browser window (such as Internet Explorer, Google Chrome, or Safari), type in "www.Onlinejudgments.com" in the address bar, and press 'Enter' on your keyboard.

Step 2

Onlinejudgments.com home page, you will be prompted to type in your Login ID and Password. User would have received the password for his/her account at the required email address and mobile number or if you do not have user name and password then sign-up for a free trial.

LOGGING IN: USERNAME- AND PASSWORD-BASED

The first thing that user will probably want to do on Onlinejudgments Web based portal is to type in your Login ID (1) and Password (2). The email address user has used to create an account on Onlinejudgments.com also acts as your Login ID; user will receive the password for his/her account on the same email address or mobile number as seen in image no. 1.

Welcome to Online Judgments	
Online Judgments is a web based portal from the DLT PUBLICATIONS. DLT	User Name
PUBLICATIONS was started on 1st Journals on different branches of law and their	
Digests. Religiously serving the legal fraternity all over India for almost four	
decades. We have acquired unviable reputation for our prompt and regular	
reporting of all reported and unreported judgments pronounced by Hon'ble	
Supreme Court of India, various High Courts and Tribunals all over India on	
different branches of Law.	Password
Online Judgments is a one stop legal search engine for all Judicial Officers,	
Lawyers, Professors, Students & Executives. Excess to Latest & Prompt judgments	
pronounced by Supreme Court of India, Various High Courts and Tribunals. We	
here at Online Judgments have converted our journals such as Supreme Laws	
Today, Delhi Law Times, Consumer Protection Judgments, Accident &	
Compensation Cases and Divorce & Matrimonial Cases into electronic form and	Login
uploaded on web. We provide our customers with timely updates so that they are	cogin
well versed with the latest lengl developments. Our Search Engine includes Head-	



If user has not registered himself and want to register it or take a free trial then he/she has to click on the free trial option at first page and fill up the requirements mentioned in the form and once it is filled user has to click on the "Submit" icon and then after sometime the user will receive the Login Id and Password on his Email address & mobile number as seen in image no. 2.

Flee Ind	al Registration - Online Judgments	
Sign-up det	tails	
Emai	Email	
Password	Password Password Descured Levels have due to the sectors (1)	
Confirm Password	(Password Lengui shoud be o characters)) d Confirm Password	
Personal de	etails	
First Name	First Name	
Last Name	Last Name	
Company	Company	
Address	Address	
State/City	/ State	
Pincode	e Pincode	
Country	Country	
Mobile	Mobile	
	I Accept Terms & Conditions	



Step 3 SELECT DATABASE

Once you have logged in, user can see a window showing options to select database as seen in image no. 3.

Orline JUDGMERTS			⊅ Se	earch -	Print	Bare Act	Database	Welcome [<u>Log</u>	<u>Off</u>]
_		Select Databas	e © By Court Name © By	Journal Na	me				_
	Sele	ect Database							
	© C	omplete	By Court Na	ame	0	By Jou	urnal Name		
				✓ Select D	• atabase				



There are three options as u can see in the picture to select database -:

COMPLETE

If you select the option of COMPLETE then you can search from the whole data base or from the data base, journal which you have subscribed as seen in image no. 4.

Online		🔎 Search 🔫	a Print	Bare Act	🖶 Database	Welcome [Log Off]
Complete B	Select Database Complete Grouplete Groupl		e Print	D Bare Act	Database	Welcome [Log Off]

IMAGE 4

COURT NAME

If u select the option of COURT NAME then you can search the data for the particular High court or various High courts which you have selected. User can see below image no. 5. © DLT SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS, 2015





BY JOURNAL NAME

If user has subscribed more than one journal then user has the option to select the data base of journal subscribed. If user has subscribed all our journals then user can select the option of COMPLETE as seen in image no. 6.

Online JUDIMENTS		🔎 Search 🔻 🖨 Print	🕒 Bare Act 🖶 Database	Welcome [Log Off]
	Select Database © Complete © By Court Name	By Journal Name	🖲 By Journal I	Name
	Accident And Compensation Cases Consumer Protection Judgments Dehi Law Times Divorce & Matrimonial Cases Supreme Law Today	•)
		Select Database		



Step 4

Once the user has selected the database then a window appears which shows various types of searches by using the extensive databases of Onlinejudgments Web based portal brought to you, and the legal research made © DLT SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS, 2015 quicker, easier, and more effective. There are two main types of searches that can be conducted: Basic Search and Advanced Search. Let's start with 'Basic Search' first.

Starting a search on Onlinejudgments is really simple. Once user start with the BASIC SEARCH there are various options -:

WORD/TOPIC/PHRASE

User need to select the Word/Topic/Phrase option and text the search in the "Enter Text to Search" box. Type any word, phrase or choose from pre-defined topics for research purpose. WORD SEARCH - One can also type multiple words at one go *e.g.* Murder – Rape – Weapon and judgments would appear containing all these words. PHRASE SEARCH – For a particular phrase user needs to use "**quotes**" *e.g.* "appreciation of evidence", judgments containing these three words together would appear. User can see in image no. 7.

After typing text in the search box the user can click on the Search Icon to search required text and the result would appear.

.ext To Search	P Search 👻 🔒 Pr	nt 🖸 Bare Act 🖶 Database	Welcome [<u>Log Off</u>]
 Word/Topic/Phrase Judge Name Party Name can put in any 	Basic Search Enter Text To Search @ WordlTopic/Phrase @ Judge Name @ Party Name User can put in any word, phrase or choose from pre defined topics for ressering purpose. One can also type multiple words at one go e.g. Murder- Rase - Weapon and judgments would appear containing all these words PHRASE SEARCH - For a particular phrase user meds to use "judgets" e.g. "appreciation of evidence", judgments containing these three words together would appear. P Search x Cancel Advance Search		



JUDGE NAME

If user has selected the Judge Name option then the user need to text the judge name in the "Enter Text To Search" box and click on the Search Icon, judgement would appear as per the Judge Name required, see image no. 8.

.ext To Search		
 Word/Topic/Phrase Judge Name Party Name 'ser can put in any yorch purpose 	Basic Search Enter Test To Search Word/Topic/Phrase Dudge Name Party Name User can put in any word, phrase or choose from pre defined topics for research purpose. One can also type multiple words at one go e.g. Murder PHRASE SEARCH - For a particular phrase user needs to use "guotes" e.g. "appreciation of evidence", judgments containing these three words together would appear.	
	P Search K Cancel Advance Search	



PARTY NAME

If user knows the party name only then this is the best option to search the judgement by selecting the option of the PARTY NAME and text the party name in the "Enter Text to Search" box and the judgment would appear. User can text any one petitioner or respondent to view required judgment. See image no. 9.

		: م	Search	+ 🛛 🛢 Print	🕒 Bare Act	🖶 Database	Welcome [Log Off]
fext To Search Word/Topic/Phrase Judge Name Party Name User can put in any search purpose	Basic Search Enter Text To Search Word/Topic/Phrase Judge Name Party Name User can put in any word, phrase or research purpose. One can also type n PRIASE SEARCH - For a particular pl 'appreciation of evidence', judgme together would appear. Search	choose from nultiple words, rase user neee nts containin x Cancel	pre define at one go e a 5 stouse 'o 9 these th Advan	i topics for g. Murder c. uuoter c. uuoter e. g. uree words ce Search			

IMAGE 9

If user would like to be more selective in his/her searches, use the ADVANCE SEARCH function, which you can view by clicking on the 'ADVANCE SEARCH Options' tab. Once you click the 'Advanced Search Options' tab, you will see a list of options to be more specific in your search. The picture shows the list of options that appears when you click the 'Advanced Search Options' tab as seen in image no. 10.

A Search ▼ ■ Print ■	Bare Act Database	Welcome [<u>Log Uff</u>]
Basic Search Enter Text To Search Word/Topic/Phrase Judge Name Party Name User can put in any word, phrase or choose from pre defined topics for research purpose, One can also type multiple words at one go e.g. Murder-Rape - Weapon and Judgments would appear containing all these words. PHRASE SEARCH - For a particular phrase user needs to use "quotes" e.g. "appreciation of evidence", Judgments containing these three words	ing these t	.g. Murae. hese words. quotes" e.g. hree words
P Search x Cancel Advance Search	O Advar	nce Search



ACT & SECTION

Once user selects Advance search option, on the top of the window ACT & SECTION option appears, user can select any Act with multiple sections of that particular Act *e.g.* Indian Penal Code – Sections 32, 302, 376, in C.P.C one can select multiple Orders/Rules in addition to Sections *e.g.* Order 7 Rule 11 and Order 2 Rule 2 and Section 151.

Point 1— Select Act & Section tab to search judgment by Act name.

Point 2— The User has to text the Act name in the "ACT NAME" Box.

<u>Point 3</u>— The section or sections of that Act in the other boxes which are given under the Act name box as seen in image no. 11.

Online			۵ Sear	ch	• 🛛 😄 Print	🛛 Bare Act	🖶 Database	Welcome [<u>Log Off</u>]
	uvance Searc							
Point 1 -	Act & Section					_		
	Quantum Fatal/F	Advance Search						
	rt Name	Act & Section Global Equivalen	t-Citation Citation					
	Quantum Fat.	Act Name				>		
Point 2 -	Act Name	Section/Order/Rules	Rules		•			
		· · · ·			T			
Point 3 -	Section/Order/Rules	User can select any Act with multiph Penal Code – Section 32, 302, 376, in addition to sections e.g. Order 7 Rule	e sections of that parti C.P.C one can select mul 11 and Order 2 Rule 2 ar	cular Ad Itiple Or nd sectio	ct e.g. Indian ders/Rules in on 151.	×		
			₽ Search × Ca	ancel	G Basic Sear	h		

IMAGE 11

GLOBAL SEARCH

Once User selects Advance search option, on the top of the window the second tab is GLOBAL which makes the search wide, precise and effective result as seen in image no. 12.

JUDGMENTS IN THE AND	A Search 👻 🗟 Print 🕒 Bare Act 👹 Database 🦉 Welcome [Log Off]
Global E V/Personal Inju	Injury Injury Atres Injury 4 more user can churn our all such judgments that contain to words in one judgment. Such as "Death Penaly" AND y using this feature user can pull out all the judgments by which are near to each other with n 20 Chartcers. e.g. unsdiction, one would get results featuring these two words Image: Im
Global E V/Personal Inju	Injury

IMAGE 12

This search has two features:-

1) AND – by using this feature user can churn out all such judgments that contain two or more specified words in one judgment. Such as "Death Penalty" AND "Acquittal". See image 13.

Online			🚇 Print	🗈 Bare Act	🖶 Database	Welcome [<u>Log Off</u>]
Section Glov Quantum Fatal/Personal In, Word/Phrase/Text Death Penalty AND Acquittal Near 10	Advance Search Act & Section Clobal Quantum Fatal/Personal Injury Word/Phrase/Text Death Penalty AND Acquittal Near 10 This search has two features 1) AND - by using this feature user can chure an end put of the search has two features and the put of the search acquittal 2) NEAR - by using this feature user can chure an end put of the search acquittal 2) NEAR - by using this feature to acarbitral Award NEAR jurisdiction, one would the near to each other.	on Citation	at contain alty" AND ments ep wo words			
		Search × Cancel C	Basic Searc	h		



 NEAR – by using this feature user can pull out all the judgments by entering two words, which are near to each other within 5 - 20 characters. e.g. Arbitral Award NEAR Jurisdiction, one would get results featuring these two words near to each other. See image 14.

Section Global Luantum Fatal/Personal Injury Word/Phrase/Text Arbitral Award NEAR Jurisdiction Near 10	Advance Search Act & Section Clobal Equivalent-Citation Citation Quantum Falal/Aeromatingury Word/Phrase/Text Arbitral Awar NEAR jursdiction Near To search has two features 1) (NO - bu using this feature user can churn out all such judgments that contain to or more specified words in one judgment. Such as 'Death Penalty' AND Acquittal. 2) NEAR - by using this feature user can churn out all such judgments by entering two words, which are near to each other with in 20 Characters, e.g. Arbitral Award (HEA), unsigned this feature words is	
rch has two fr		Use AND OR NEAR in capital let for better search results. Use double quotes(") for phrase or sentence.



EQUIVALENT CITATION

On the top of the window in advance search the third tab is Equivalent Citations.

This feature allows you to search a desired judgment by typing the citations of other journals *e.g.* SCC, AIR etc.

Under equivalent citation tab there are three boxes, in <u>First box</u> user need to select Journal name in the <u>Second</u> <u>box</u> user need to select the year of the citation and in the <u>Third box</u> user need to select full citation which he wants to search. After selecting all the options in the boxes the user need to click on the search tab which is mentioned at the bottom of the window as seen in image no. 15.

Online JUDGMERTS		Bare Act Database Welcome [Log Off]
JournalSelect ▼ YearSelect ▼ Equivalent CitationSelect ▼	Act & Secton Global Equivalent-Citation Citation Quantum Fatal/Personal Injury JournalSelect- YearSelect- Equivalent CitationSelect- This feature allows you to search a desired judgment by typing the citations of other journals.	Equivalent-Citation Injury elect
	PSearch X Cancel Basic Search	

IMAGE 15 © DLT SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS, 2015

CITATION SEARCH

On the top of the window in advance search the Fourth tab is Citation Search. This allows you to search for the citations of journals which are published by DLT Publications.

In <u>first box</u> select the journal of the citation <u>second box</u> select the year of the citation, in the <u>third box</u> select the volume no. of the citation, in the <u>fourth box</u> select the page no. of the citation and the <u>fifth box</u> select the citation as a whole. After selecting all the boxes the user need to click the search tab which is mentioned at the bottom of the window as seen in image no.16. In case of DELHI LAW TIMES the citation of DLT (Criminal) is also included with citations of unreported judgments in first volume for each year. Under the citation tab there are five boxes.

Online	م	Search	• 🛛 🖴 Print	🗈 Bare Act	🖶 Database	Welcome [Log Off]
JournalSelect YearSelect▼ Vol NoSelect▼ Page Select ▼	Advance Search Act & Section Clobal Equivalent.Classic Clip Quantum Fatal/Personal Injury Journal Select- • Year -Select- • • You No -Select- • • Yaar -Select- • • Yaar -Select- • • Yaar -Select- • • Yaar -Select- • • Page -Select- • • This allows you to search for the citations of journals w Poblications, in Case of Dicki LAW TMAS the citation induded with citations of unreporter judgments in first	tion hich are public of DLT (Cririo)	lished by DLT minal) is also ch year.	, t	ion C	itation
CitationSelect •	Ø Search (× Cancel	Basic Searc			



QUANTUM FATAL/PERSONAL INJURY

This exclusive search option is available for subscribers of Accident & Compensation Cases (ACC) or user of ACC Online to search compensation in Accident Cases. e.g.: Age/Multiplier/Interest/Disability in the search box. Then click the search button below as seen image no. 17.

Advance Search At & Section Clobal Equivalent Citation Citation Quantum Fatal/Personal Injury (Quantum Fatal/Personal Injury) Are From (Years) (Section Clobal Equivalent Citation Citation (Section Clobal Equivalent Citation Citation (Section Clobal Equivalent Citation Citation (Section Clobal Equivalent Citation (Sec	<u>Online</u>		₽ Search	Print	🗈 Bare Act	🖶 Database	Welcome [<u>Log Off</u>]
Age To (Years) Age To (Years) Age From (Years) Age From (Years) Age To (Ye	Quantum Fatal/Personal Injury	Advance Search Act & Section Clobal Equivalent-Citation Quantum Fatal/Personal Injury Quantum Fatal @ Personal Injury Age From (Years) Age To (Years) Multiplier Interest After typing the required information e.g., Age/Mult search dialogue box, a click on the search buttop v starting with SC and followed by all High Court of o P Search	Citation ielect ielect ielect ielect Ag ipplier/in Ag h Mu Int	uantum e From (Yea Iltiplier erest	Fatal (ears) ars)	O Personal Inj	jury Select Select Select Select

IMAGE 17

When user logs in then a window appears and on the top of the window there are 5 Icons user can see :-

1st Icon

SEARCH

If user clicks the SEARCH icon then he/she will go back to the main window which gives you the option for the Basic Search or the Advance Search as seen in image no. 18.

Online			A Search Print	🗈 Bare Act 🗧 Database	Welcome [<u>Log Off</u>]
	Select Database				
	Complete	By Court Name	By Journal Name		-
			Select Database		

IMAGE 18

2nd Icon PRINT

If user wants to take the Replica printout (same as Book) of a judgement then he/she can select this icon PRINT and required judgement can be downloaded in his computer and printout can be taken. At the bottom of the window user can see an icon which is a PDF file of a judgement as seen in image no. 19.



IMAGE 19

3rd Icon REFINE SEARCH

When user has searched anything and a judgement appears after that he wants his search to be more specific then user can select the option of REFINE SEARCH for required result. Even in the refine search again user has a lot of options to refine his search by opting word/phrase, topic, Judge Name, party name, Act name, lawyer name, result. There is no limitation for the user to refine his search as seen in image no. 20.

Word/Phrase		
⊖ Topic		
Judge Name		
O Party Name		
O Act Name		
C Lawyer Name		
Result		

IMAGE 20 © DLT SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS, 2015

4th Icon BARE ACT

By selecting this icon user can search for the required Bare Acts. Text the name of the Act in the "ACT NAME" box and click on the search option. The user even has the option to take out the print outs of those bare acts as seen images no. 21 & 22.

Chline			🔎 Search 👻 🗸 🖨 P	Print Bare Act	Database	Welcome [<u>Log Off</u>]
JUDIMENTS	-					
	Select Database					
	Complete	By Court Name	By Journal Name			
				^	🕒 Bare /	Act
				¥		
			Select Database	D		





IMAGE 22

5th Icon

This is an option where user can change the database. If user wants to make his research specific for a particular High Court or a particular journal then he/she can select the option for database and change it as required. See image no. 23.



IMAGE 23

- If user searches any judgement, on top of the judgement he/she can see :-
- Point 1— Citation of that Particular Case / Judgment.
- Point 2— Name of the Court where the judgment is pronounced.
- Point 3— Name of the Judges who delivered the Judgment.
- Point 4 Name of the Parties.
- Point 5— Case Number of that particular Judgment as seen in image no 24.

Online JUDGMENTS			م	Search -	🖉 Print	Refine Search	🕒 Bare Act	a Database	Welcome [Log Off]
(i) Indian Penal Cod	de, 1860 — Sections 302, 376(2)(g), 3	64, 404 r/w Section 120B —	IV (2015) SLT 404 SUPRENE COURT OF INDIA HL. Dartu, CH.: S.A. Bobde & Arum Mihra, JJ. PURUSHOTTAM DASHRATH BORATE & ANR—Appell VIEW STATE OF MAHARASHTRA—Respondent Cri. Appeal No. 1489 of 2013—Decided on S.S. 2015 Criminal Procedure Code, 1973 — Section 354(0) – Murder	ants — Gang ra	pe — Kidn	apping — Crimina	l conspiracy –	– Dishonest misa	ppropriation of property —
Death sentence — Stolen in	tems and vehicle recovered pursuant	to confessional statement m	ide by accused — Age alone cannot be a naramount considerati	ion as a mit	igating circ	umstance — Simila	rly family ba	ckground of accu	sed also could not be said to
be a mitigating circumstance — Lack of criminal antecedents also cannot be consider persons — Heinous offence of gang-rape of innocent and helpless young woman by th collective conscience of community and Court — This case falls within category of "rare			IV (2015)	SLT	404 -	- 1			s committed by accused ich shocks and repulse
 (ii) Criminal Proced achieved through instrum imposing appropriate seato of accursed and all where at (iii) Criminal Proce- provocation or on spur of () wounds death scenence — (iv) Sentence — In 1 (v) Sentence —	hure Code, 1973 — Section 354(3) — entaility of criminal law — Society to ence — Court is required to mould sc ending circumstances are relevant fa dure Code, 1973 — Section 354(3) — moment, but meticulously executes a Criminal law requires strict adheren event years, rising crime rate, partici- e are shockingly large number of cas- lect of sentencing policy should be to s	Death Sentence — Rares day has been infected wit attencing system to meet (is which would enter int - Death Sentence — "Rat leilberate, cold-blooded a leilberate, cold-blooded a c to rule of proportional larity violent crimes again es where sentence of puni ee that crime does not go wo	SUPREME COU H.L. Dattu, CJI.; S.A. Bo PURUSHOTTAM DASHRATH vers STATE OF MAHARA Crl. Appeal No. 1439 of 20	URT (obde & BORA SUS SHTR 013—1	Arun ATE & A—R Decide	DIA 2 <i>Mishra</i> , . 2 ANR.—, .espondent <i>d on 8.5.2</i>	JJ 3 Appella t 015	3 ints 4 5	 13, 15, 26, 27, 19, 40, 41 n of State which can be the may be achieved by ission of crime, conducted, and the state of the
1 Rachan Cinch v. Ctate o	Paulah (1000) 7 CCT K04 (Baliadi	A Basks Name					10 500		/Dava 141
DU (2014) OUT 543		- Party Name					cou		
19 (2015) 5L1 517	14/0/2015	DEVIDAS RAMAC	TANDRA TUDAPURNAR V. STATE UP MANARASHTRA & ORS.				Sup	reme Court of India	
IV (2015) SLT 509	17/4/2015	M. NARAYAN v. S	TATE OF KARNATAKA				Sup	reme Court of India	
IV (2015) SLT 454	28/4/2015	UPENDRA PRADH	N V. STATE OF ORISSA				Sup	reme Court of India	
TV (2015) SLT 464	8/5/2015	PURUSHOTTAM C	ASHRATH BORATE IX ANR. V. STATE OF MAHARASHTRA				Sup	reme Court of India	
IV (2015) SLT 268	24/4/2015	VUTUKURU LAKS	MAIAH V. STATE OF A.P.				Sup	reme Court of India	
<< < > >> Go to page:	Row count: 5 *								Showing 1-5 of 7

IMAGE 24

If user searches any judgement, the topic searched would be highlighted with yellow colour in the judgement. If suppose we have searched for murder as seen in the picture murder is highlighted in yellow colour whether that word is in judgement or in headnote as seen in image no. 25.

Online			P Search	- 📮 Print	Refine Search	🗈 Bare Act	B Database	Welcome [Log Off]
SUDUMERIS In the street search								î
		IV (2015) SLT 404 SUPPEME COUPT OF INDIA						
		HI Datty CH: SA Babda & Aran Miche	a 11					
		PURUSHOTTAM DASHRATH BORATE & ANR -	-Appellants					
		versus						
		STATE OF MAHARASHTRA-Responde	ent					
		Cri. Appeal No. 1439 of 2013—Decided on 8	5 2015					
Death sentence — Stolen it be a mitigating circumstan persons — Heinous offenc collective conscience of con	tems and vehicle recovered pursuant ice — Lack of criminal antecedents : e of gang-rape of innocent and helpl amunity and Court — This case falls	to contessional statement made by accused — Age alone cannot be a paramount cor also cannot be considered as multigating circumstance, particularly taking into cons ess young woman by those in whom she had reposed trust, followed by a cold-blo within category of "rarest of rare" which merits death penalty and none Use. Som	nsideration as a sideration, natu oded <mark>murder</mark> ar tence of doath a	mitigating ci re of heinous id calculated varded to acc	cumstance — Smill offence and cold and attempt of cover-up used-appellants conf	d calculated m is one such in irmed.	ckground of acc nanner in which istance of a crin	used also could not be said to it was committed by accused ne which shocks and repulses
							<u> </u>	Paras 33, 35, 36, 37, 39, 40, 411
achieved through instrume imposing appropriate sent of accused and all other att (iii) Criminal Procee provocation or on spur of f towards death sentence — (iv) Sentence — In r act as a deterrent — There system's credibility — Obj	ntality of criminal law — Society to need — Court is required to mould se ending circumstances are relevant fa dure Code, 1973 — Section 354(3) — noment, but meticulously executes a Criminal law requires strict adheren ecent years, rising crime rafe, particit are shockingly large number of case ect of sentencing policy should be to s	Jay has been infected with lawlessness that has gravely un interching system iometrithis challenge — Facts and your 354(3) — ets which would enter into area of consideration — Based Death Sentence — "Rarest of rare" cases exists when a leliberate, cold-bioded and pre-planned crime, giving sca te to rule of proportionality in awarding punishment and and static cold bioded and pre-planned criminal sec a cold-bi where sentence of punishment awarded to accused is no te that crime does not go unpunished and victim of crime te that crime does not go unpunished and victim of crime	- <mark>Mu</mark> conside onside loode	rder lerat ratio d <mark>mu</mark>	— Gan ion as a n, natu <mark>irder</mark> a	ig raj a miti ire of nd ca	pe — igatin f hein alcula	kin of crime, conduct g ci [Para 23] ed does not act on ewould tilt heavily outs [Para 34] ted prierick was as to [Para 35]
Result: Appeal dismissed.								and the second s
Cases referred:								
1 Baahan Cinah Ctata a	(Duniah (1000) 7 CPP ESA (Daliadi							[Down 1d]
Citation	Decided On	Party Name				🍦 Cos	ırt	
IV (2015) SLT 517	14/5/2015	DEVIDAS RAMACHANDRA TULJAPURKAR v. STATE OF MAHARASHTRA & ORS.				Sup	reme Court of India	
IV (2015) SLT 509	17/4/2015	M. NARAYAN v. STATE OF KARNATAKA				Sup	reme Court of India	
IV (2015) SLT 454	28/4/2015	UPENDRA PRADHAN v. STATE OF ORISSA				Sup	reme Court of India	
TV (2015) SLT 404	8/5/2015	PURUSHOTTAM DASHRATH BORATE & ANR. V. STATE OF MAHARASHTRA				Sup	reme Dourt of India	
IV (2015) SLT 268	24/4/2015	VUTUKURU LAKSHMAIAH v. STATE OF A.P.				Sup	reme Court of India	
<< < > >> Go to pager	▼ Row counts 5 ▼							Showing 1-5 of 7327

IMAGE 25

When user searches for any judgement, window appears with the judgements on the topic searched. At the bottom of the window as you can see in the picture below, there are judgements in the tabular form which contains the citation of the case their date of decision and the party name with court name. The judgement which user will select would be highlighted in blue.

If you see at the bottom of the table there are symbols with the sign of greater than ">" and less than "<" these signs are just to change the pages of the table and user has the option to increase or reduce the row counts of the table by clicking on "Row counts" as seen in the image no. 26 and adjust it as per the convenience.

At the bottom on the right side corner the user can see the word "Showing" that means the number of judgements in the database on the topic which user has searched for.





Follow On

This software has unique feature of "Follow On", any judgement which user has searched, this feature would tell in how many further cases this judgment is followed (status of the judgment). The same is mentioned on top of the judgment in blue colour citation by the name of "Follow On" written in black colour as seen in image no. 27.

	1- 11 -					-
	(Follow O	n:- III (2004) CPJ	664, I (20	06) CPJ 4	7 (NC))	6
				- C		
		BASANT SETH &	ANRComplainants	10		
			rersus			
		REGENCY HOSPIT.	AL LTD.—Opposite Party			
		Original Petition No. 99 o	f 1994-Decided on 22.1.2002			
Consumer Prote were close relatives of incompetence against h	ction Act, 1986 — Section 2(1)(g) — Me complainant — Allegation of negliger ospital, staff and doctors not proved —	edical Service — Deficiency in Service — Complainant's mother ace against doctor not permitted without making the doctor p Complaint dismissed with cost.	died due to alleged negligence of hospi party to proceedings — Proper treatm	tal — Complainant not joined by ent given— Deceased's case no	father and brother — Case t fit for surgery proved — .	not supported by docto Allegations of negligen
arguments heard and ord	ers reserved in the complaint. It is not that	t that he had signed the pleadings or aven appeared to support the or	omplainant. Other close relatives of the co	mplainant whose names have com-	a in the proceedings are three d	loctore namaly Dr. Shar
Dr. K.M. Seth and Dr. F doctors namely, Dr. V.K independent witness Dr. could have been done. H doctors.	CK. Kapoor. They also do not support the Mishra, Dr. Mohit Kacker, and Dr. Muk D.K. Chhabra, a reputed Neuro-surgeon vie e also stated that case of Krishna Seth was been been been been been been been been	, and the intersection of the encoding of even appendix to support the Co complaniant that there were any failings of ralation on the part of it rul Verma treated the deceased. As a matter of fact any allegation or whose completence could not even be doubted by the complaniant or as not a fit and proper case for surgery. There was no warrant what	ae Hospital, its staff or the doctors in pro- f incompetence or negligence against a d or his withers Dr. Saxena, appeared in the soever for the complainant to file this con against the source of	iding proper medical managemen octor cannot be permitted or looke proceedings and testified that the aplaint making allegations of negli	there is a service of the service of	deceased. A team of co tor party in the proceedi ed was proper and nothin ist the Hospital, its staff
Dr. K.M. Seth and Dr. F doctors namely, Dr. V.K independent witness Dr. could have been done. H doctors. Complainant has	K.K. Kapoor. They also do not support the Mishra, Dr. Mohit Kacker, and Dr. Muk D.K. Chhabra, a reputed Neuro-surgeon vie le also stated that case of Krishna Seth wa failed to prove any negligence on the part.	I, and an origination up of the several application to support and the part of the compliant affair there were any findings of rails on the part of the full Verma treated the deceased. As a matter of fact any allegation on those completence could not even be doubled by the compliant at as not a fit and proper case for suggery. There was no warrant what of the Hospital. This complaint has no merit and is dismissed with c	ae Hospital, its staff or the doctors in pro- f mcompetence or negligence against a dor his witness Dr. Saxena, appeared in the soever for the complainant to file this con ost of Rs. 10,000/	iding proper medical managemen octor cannot be permitted or looke proceedings and testified that the uplaint making allegations of negli	services and treatment to the d into without making the doct treatment given to the decease gence and incompetence again	deceased. A team of co- tor party in the proceeding was proper and nothing st the Hospital, its staff
Dr. K.M. Seth and Dr. F doctors namely, Dr. V.K independent witness Dr. could have been done. H doctors. Complainant has i	K.K. Kapoor. They also do not support the Mishra, Dr. Mohat Kacker, and Dr. Muk DK. Chhabra, a reputed Neuro-surgeon Ie also stated that case of Krishna Seth wi failed to prove any negligence on the part.	e complement that there were any failings or faults on the part of the indiverse trade the deceased. As a matter of fact any allepation on whose competence could not even be doubted by the complement as not a fit and proper case for surgery. There was no warrant what of the Hoopital. This complement has no merit and is dismissed with c	ae Hospital, its staff or the doctors in pro- f incompetence or negligence against a d or his writees Dr. Saxena, appeared in the soever for the complainant to file this con ost of Rs. 10,000	iding proper medical managemen octor cannot be permitted or looke proceedings and testified that the uplaint making allegations of negli	services and treatment to the d into without making the doct treatment given to the decease gence and incompetence again	deceased A team of cou tor party in the proceedi ed was proper and nothin st the Hospital, its staff (Paras 20)
Dr. K.M. Seth and Dr. H doctors namely. Dr. V.K independent witness Dr. could have been done. H doctors. Complainant has is Result : Complaint dism	C.K. Kapoor. They also do not support the S. Wahra. Dr. Mohit Kasker, and Dr. Muk D.K. Chabra, a reputed Neuro-surgeon le also stated that case of Krishna Seth wa failed to prove any negligence on the part o issed.	complanant that there were any findings of faults on the part of the outplanant that there were any findings of faults on the parton of the complement could not even be doubled by the complanant as not a fit and proper case for suggery. There was no warrant what of the Hospital. This complaint has no merit and is dismissed with c	ae Hospital, its staff or the doctors in prov of mcompetence or negligence against a d or his witness Dr. Saxena, appeared in the soever for the complainant to file this con ost of Rs. 10,000	iding proper medical managemen octor cannot be permitted or looke proceedings and testified that the aplaint making allegations of negli	services and treatment to the d into without making the doct treatment given to the decease gence and incompetence again	deceased. A team of cou tor party in the proceeding was proper and nothin ist the Hospital, its staff (Paraz 20.
Dr. K.M. Seth and Dr. F doctors namely. Dr. V.K independent witness Dr. could have been done. H doctors. Complainant has : Result : Complaint dism Counsel for the Parties	K. Kapore They also do not support the Mishra, Dr. Mohit Kacker, and Dr. Muh. DK. Chihalyn, a reputed Neuro-suggeon Ie also stated that case of Krishna Seth wi failed to prove any negligence on the part issed.	a compliance and that there were any fingings of rails on here part of the part of the origination transfer the decaused. As a matter of fact any allegation or those complement are could not even be doubled by the compliance as not a fit and proper case for surgery. There was no warrant what of the Hospital. This compliant has no merit and is dismissed with o	ne Hoopital, its staff or the doctors in pro- f meconstence or negligence against a d or his witness Dr. Saxena, appeared in the soever for the complaniant to file this con ost of Rs. 10,000	riding proper medical managemen cotor cannot be permitted or looke proceedings and testified that the aplaint making allegations of negli	services and treatment to the d into without making the doct treatment given to the decease gence and incompetence again	deceased. A team of cou tor party in the proceeds d was proper and nothin ist the Hospital, its staff (Paraz 20.
Dr. K.M. Seth and Dr. F doctors namely, Dr. V.K independent witness Dr. could have been done. H doctors. Complainant has ! Result : Complaint dism Counsel for the Parties For the Complainants . A	K.K.Kapore They also do not support the Nishira, D. Nohlin Kacker, and Dr. Mul D.K. Chahera, a reputed Neuro-surgeon fe also stated that case of Krishna Seth wi finiled to prove any negligence on the part instead. : dr. Lahr Bhatin, Mr. Shipra Mathur and A.	a compliant that there were any failings of failts on the part of the output of the decision of a summer of fact any allegation on whose competence could not even be doubted by the compliant as not a fit and proper case for surgery. There was no warrant what of the Hospital. This compliant has no merit and is dismissed with c dr. Sonia Mehta, for Mix Bhazin & Co., Advecture.	ae Hoopital, its staff or the dectors in pro- for incompetence or megiganes against a d or his witness Dr. Saxena, appeared in the sosever for the complanant to file this con out of Rs. 10,000°.	nding proper medical management octor cannot be permitted or looke proceedings and testified that the aplanit making allegations of negli	services and treatment to the d into without making the doct treatment given to the decease gence and incompetence again	decased A team of co tor party in the proceed d was proper and online ist the Hospital, its staff (Paras 20)
Dr K M Seth and Dr. F doctors namely, Dr. V K independent witness Dr. could have been done. E doctors. Complainant has i Result : Complain dism Counsel for the Parties For the Complainants : A For the Opposite Party :	C.K. Kapore They also do not support the Mistars. Dr. Mohl Kacker, and Dr. Mul. D.K. Chhabra, a reputed betro-surgeon le also stated that case of Krishna Seth wa finlled to prove any negligence on the part of isseed. : <i>i Labit Bhasin, Ms. Shipra Mathur and Mur. Joseph Veilapally, Sr. Adv. with Mr.</i> I Mr. Joseph Veilapally, Sr. Adv. with Mr. I	a compliance that there verse any forings of failings of faults on the part of the all Verma treated the deceased. As a matter of fact any allegation or house complement could not even be doubled by the complement what of the Hospital. This complaint has no merit and is dismissed with o of the Hospital. This complaint has no merit and is dismissed with o discussion. All the second	ae Hoopital, its staff or the dectors in pro- f incompetence or negligence against a d or his winness Dr. Saxena, appeared in the soever for the complainant to file this con ost of Rs. 10,000 ⁻ .	ofing proper medical management octor cannot be permitted or looke proceedings and testified that the aplaint making allegations of negh	i services and restment to the d anto without making the doct treatment given to the decease gence and incompetence again	core standy J. M. A team of co. tor party in the proceeds d was proper and nothin ist the Hospital, its staff (Paras 20)
Dr K M. Seth and Dr. F. doctors namely. Dr. V K independent witness Dr. could have been dome. F. doctors. Complainant has ! Result : Complaint dism Counsel for the Parties For the Complainants : A For the Opposite Party :	K.K.Kapoer They also do not support the Nukrar, Dr. Modin Kacker, and Dr. Mul. D.K. Chahbra, a reputed Neuro-surgeon to fe also stated that case of Krishna Seth wi finiled to prove any negligence on the part of issel. : dr. Lahit Bhazin, Ma. Shipra Mathur and M. Mr. Jaceph Feilapally, Sr. Adv. with Mr.	e complement that there were any falings of faults on the part of the int Vermi treated the deceased. As a matter of fact any allepation is whose competence could not even be doubted by the complement as not a fit and proper case for surgery. There was no warrant what of the Hospital. This complaint has no merit and is dismissed with c dr. Sonia Mehna, for Mrs. Bhazin & Co., Advocates. Pleas Mehna and Mr. Indu Maihora, Advocates.	ae Hoopital, its staff or the doctors in pro- f incompetence or negligence against a d or his witness Dr. Saxena, appeared in the soever for the complainant to file this con out of Rs. 10,000' RDER	rding proper medical management color cannot be permitted or looked proceedings and testified that the applant making allegations of negh	recrices and treatment to the d into without making the doct treatment given to the decease gence and incompetence again	deceased. A team of co. for party in the proceed of was proper and nothin st the Hospital, its staff (Paras 20.
Dr K.M. Seth and Dr. P. doctors namely, Dr. V.K independent witness Dr. could have been done. F. doctors. Complainant has i Connsel for the Parties For the Complainants. J. For the Opposite Party : Mr. Justice D.P. W complaint was filed on 1 pendency of the complain to objection was raised d	K.K.Kapoer. They also do not support the Nishira, D. Nohlin Kacker, and Dr. Mui D.K. Chahera, a reputed Neuro-surgeon to fe also stated that case of Krishna Seth wi finiled to prove any negligence on the part - issed. <i>dr. Latter Backin, Me. Shipra Mathur and M.</i> <i>Mar. Joseph Viellapolity, Sr. Adv. with Mr.</i> <i>1</i> <i>adhwa</i> , President —Compliant in the 's 8 : 1984. Admitted by when the complian at it is stated that the father expired Duru <i>y</i> the epopole party-Hospital, memo of p	a compliant that there were any failings of faults on the part of 10 und Vermit trade the decisional. As a matter of fact any allegation on whose competence could not even be doubted by the compliantart of the Hospital. This compliant has no merit and is dismissed with o defined the set of the set of the set of the set of the definition of the Hospital. This compliant has no merit and is dismissed with o def. Sonia Mehta, for ME: Bhazin & Co., Advocates. Pikar Mehta and Ms. Indu Malhotra, Advocates. Was filed, firther of the compliant (husband of the deposate po- son of Mrs. Krishus 76th do died on 31.10.1093 the deposate po- ing the course of arguments whon this flaw in the compliant was pio- d rise was allowed to be amended and Anare Set was added as seco- ties was reallowed to be amended and Anare Set was added as	ne Hoopital, its staff or the doctors in pro- f incompetence or negligence against a d r his vitness Dr. Saxena, appeared in the socret for the complainant to file this con out of Rs. 10.000° RDER RDER The Sopital. Complaint is that the death a slive and the deceased was also survivated out, an application was filed on 16 If and complainant.	ofing proper medical management color cannot be permitted or looks proceedings and testified that the aplaint making allegations of negli- al was due to gross negligence on t d by her other son. Neither his fa .2001 to bring on record Mr. Ama	i services and meatment to the dimoving the address the services of the second treatment given to the deceases gence and incompetence again the part of the Regency Hospith he part of the Regency Hospith e far nor his other brother are p S seth, brother of the complan	deceased A team of co tor party in the proceeds d was proper and nothin ist the Hospital, its staff <i>(Parca 20)</i> al Ltd. (for short Hospital parties to this complaint and also as a complaint
Dr K.M. Seth and Dr. P. doctors namely, Dr. V.K. independent witness Dr. doctors. Complainant has i Complain at main Counsel for the Parties For the Complainants .A For the Opposite Party : Mr. Justice D.P.M. complaint was filed on a pendency of the complain no objection was raised	C.K. Kapose They also do not support the Mistimar, Dr. Mohl Racker, and Dr. Mul D.K. Chhabra, a reputed Neuro-surgeon fe also stated that case of Krishna Seth wa finiled to prove any negligence on the part state	a compliminant that there years any raisings per finite on the part of the output of the decision of a summer of the tray all depotent whose competence could not even be doubted by the compliminant as not a fit and proper case for suggey. There was no warrant what of the Hospital. This complaint has no merit and is dismissed with o dis. Sonia Mehta, for Mis. Bhasin & Co., Advocates. Fibras Mehta and Mis. Indu Malhorra, Advocates. What Mehta and Mis. Indu Malhorra, Advocates. What Mehta and Mis. Indu Malhorra, Advocates. O son of Mis. Krishma Seth who died on 31.10.1993 in the opposite pat ta was filed, father of the compliantari (hashand of the deceased) we artise was allowed to be anneded and Anna Seth was added as sec-	ae Hoopital, its staff or the dectors in pro- f incompetence or megigence against a d or his winness Dr. Saxena, appeared in the socever for the complainant to file this con- ost of Rs. 10,000'. RDER arty's hospital Complaint is that the death as alive and the decaused was also survivi and the out, an application was filed on 1.61 and complainant.	ofing proper medical amagement color cannot be permitted or looke proceedings and testified that the uplaint making allegations of negh a was due to gross negligence on t d by her other son. Neither his fa 2001 to bring our core of Mr. Ama 2001 to bring our core of Mr. Ama	i services and treatment to the dimo writerum taking the decent treatment given to the decense gence and incompetence again the part of the Regency Hospith ther nor his other torder are go Seth, brother of the complan	decased A 1 sum of co or party in the proceed of was proper and nothin at the Hospital, its staff (<i>Paras</i> .20) at Ltd. (for short Hospita attries to this complant and also is a complant
Dr K.M. Seth and Dr. F. doctors namely, Dr. V.K independent witness Dr. could have been done. F doctors. Complained has Result : Complained has Consol for the Parties For the Complainants. J. For the Opposite Party : Mr. Justice D.P. W complant was field on a pendency of the complain o dypection was ransed U	CK Kapoor They also do not support the Violaria, Dr Mohl Kacker, and Dr Muh DK. Chabra, a reputed Neuro-surgeon via failed to prove any negligence on the part instel. : dr. Laite thatins, Ma. Shipra Mathur and M Mr. Jaceph Veilapaily, Sr. Adv. with Mr. I 'adhwa, President—Complianant is the 'is A 1994 A dunitedly when the complian at it is stated that the father expered. Damu y the opposed party-Hospital, memo of p Decided on	e compliant that there were any fallings of faults on the part of 10 in Vermi treated the deceased. As a matter of fact any allegation o whose competence could not even be doubted by the compliantar as not a fit and proper case for surgery. There was no warrant what of the Hospital. This compliant has no merit and is dismissed with o dr. Sonia Mehta, for Mr. Bhazin & Co., Advocates. Pleas Mehta and Mr. Indu Malhora, Advocates. Pleas Mehta and Mr. Indu Malhora, Advocates. Was filed, fatter of the compliant (husband of the opposite po- on of Mrs. Krishna Seth who died on 31 to 1993 the deceased w g the course of arguments when this flaw in the compliant was poor inties was allowed to be sameded and Jama Seth was added as second party Hame	ae Hoopital, its staff or the doctors in pro- f incompetence or negligence against a d r his vitness Dr. Saxena, appeared in the soever for the complainant to file this con out of Rs. 10.000'. RDER RDER	ofing proper medical management cycler cannot be permitted or looke proceedings and testified that the aplant making allegations of negh is was due to gross negligence on f d by her other son. Neither his fa 2001 to bring on record Mr. Ama	is ervices and meatment to the dime writhout making the doct treatment given to the decease gence and incompetence again the part of the Regency Hospital ther nor his other torother are p 5 sets, brother of the complex p Set. The complex Court	deceased, A sem of co. to party in the proceeding of way proper and nothing <i>(Burnst 20)</i> all Ltd. (for short Hospitul and the complement and all and as a complement of the comp



Equivalent Citation

The judgements which are published in our journals and same judgements are published in various other journals the citation of all those journals are mentioned in our software by the name of "Equivalent Citations" on the top of the window with black colour as seen in image no. 28.



IMAGE 28

Overruled Judgements

This software has a very informative feature of Overruled Judgements, Informing the user which judgement has overruled the other judgement.

If user has searched any judgement and it is overruled by the other judgement then on top of the judgement in Red colour it is mentioned that "This Judgement is Overruled by the judgment mentioned with name and citation" as seen in the picture given below image no. 29.

Online			<u>م</u>	Search -	Print	Refine Search	🗅 Bare Act	8 Database	Welcome [Log Off]	
	This Judg	ment is Overruled by : SMT. SAVITA GARG v. THE D (Equivalent citation:- 2002 (2) CPR 62 (NC), 2002 (2) (Follow On:- 111 (2004) C	DIRECTOR, NATIONAL HE/ CLT 590 (NC), 2002 NCJ 511 (N PJ 664, I (2006) CPJ 47 (NC))	ART INS	TITUTE, IV (2) CPC 289 (NC	2004) CPJ 40	(SC)			ĺ
This Judgme	ent is Overruled by	: SMT. SAVITA GARG v. THE D	IRECTOR, NAT	IONA	L HEAF	T INSTI	TUTE, J	V (2004) CPJ 40 (SC)	
		Hon ble Mr. Justice D.P. V	Wadhwa, President, Mr. Justice							1
		J.K. Mehra, Mrs. Rajyalakshmi	Rao & Mr. B.K. Taimni, Memb	pers						
		BASANT SETH &	: ANR.—Complainants							
		REGENCY HOSDIT	ALITE Opposite Berty							
		Original Patition No. 99 o	f 1994 Decided on 22.1 2002							
Consumer Protection	Act 1986 - Section 2(1)(g) - Med	ical Service - Deficiency in Service - Complainant's mother	died due to alleged negligence	of hosnits	d - Complain	ant not joined by	v father and b	rother - Case no	at supported by doctors who	
were close relatives of con incompetence against hospi	plainant — Allegation of negligene tal, staff and doctors not proved — C	e against doctor not permitted without making the doctor p omplaint dismissed with cost.	arty to proceedings - Prope	er treatme	nt given- De	ceased's case no	t fit for surge	ry proved — Al	llegations of negligence and	1
Held : To put it more undergone by the complainant making allegations of negling arguments heard and orders r Dr. K.M. Seth and Dr. K.K. doctors namely, Dr. V.K. Mi independent witness Dr. Dk.K. could have been done. He al- doctors.	candidly complainant has sought com it and his family during the treatment. ance, etc. against the Hospital, the doct eserved in the complaint. It is not that, Kapoor. They also do not support the shra, Dr. Mohit Kacker, and Dr. Muku. . Chhabra, a reputed Neuro-surgeon was so stated that case of Krishna Seth was a so that that case of the set was a so the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set	pensation for causing the untimely deshi of his mother due to gr compliannt is a bachelor. We do not know which faulty members are and the Hospital staff and seeking compensation as claumed by compliannut that there were any failings of faults on the part of fit Verma treated the decessed. As a matter of fact any allegation on hose completence could not even be doubted by the compliannat and a fit and proper case for surgery. There was no warrant what the United Terman back becaused as a set of the set of the set of the surger of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set of the	as negligence and the deficiency is he is referring to. His father wa the complanant, though brother mplanant. Other close relatives the Hospital, its staff or the docto f incompetence or negligence ag or his witness Dr. Saxena, appear sovere for the complanant to fill exception 10,000.	y in servic as alive at t r of the con ors in provi gainst a do red in the p e this comp	e on the part of he time of filin aplainant was p aplainant whose ding proper me ctor cannot be p proceedings and plaint making a	f the Hospital auth g of this complain ermitted to join a names have com dical managemen permitted or looke d testified that the illegations of negl	orities includin t. His father or the fag end of e in the procees t services and t ed into without treatment give igence and inco	ig the doctors and even his brother d the proceedings b dings are three doc reatment to the d- making the doctor n to the deceased ompetence against	nurses and for mental agong id not join the complainant in y order dated 3.12.2001 when tors namely, Dr. Sharad Seth ceased. A team of competen r party in the proceedings. As was proper and nothing more the Hospital, its staff and the	r a L it n e e
Complanian nas lane	a to prove any negagence on the part of	the Hospital. This complaint has no merit and is distinssed with or	581 01 KS. 10,000/						(Dames 20 and 22	
Perult - Complaint dismissa	1								12 10/10 - 0 10/01 - 1	1
Councel for the Parties :										
For the Complainants : Mr. I	alit Rharin Mr. Shinna Mathur and M	Sonia Mahta for M/r Bharin & Co. Ichocater								
For the Complaniants 130.2	Level Vellevelle Control Manual Mark	Sond Mend, for Mrs. Drash & Co., Mavorales.								
For the Opposite Party : MF.	Joseph venapaliy, sr. Aav. with Mr. vi	tas Menia ana Ms. Inali Mainotra, Aavocales.								
Mr. Justice D.P. Wadh complaint was filed on 28.4. pendency of the complaint it no objection was raised by th	wa, President—Complainant is the so 1994. Admittedly when the complaint is stated that the father expired. During e opposite party-Hospital, memo of par	n of Mrs. Krishna Seth who died on 31.10.1993 in the opposite p was filed, father of the complainant (husband of the deceased) we the course of arguments when this flaw in the complaint was poin use was allowed to be amended and Amar Seth was added as seco	RDER urty's hospital. Complaint is that a alive and the deceased was ali- ted out, an application was filed and complainant.	t the death so survived i on 16.10.	was due to gro 1 by her other s 2001 to bring o	ss negligence on t ion. Neither his fa n record Mr. Ama	he part of the I ther nor his oth ir Seth, brother	Regency Hospital aer brother are par of the complainan	Ltd. (for short Hospital). This ties to this complaint. During it also as a complainant. Since	s g e
Citation	Decided On	Party Name				1947 A. A. M. M.	Cou	4		
THE COMPANY CALL AND COMPANY	20/0/2000	In CASE CONTRACTORS AND A DESTINATION DESCRIPTION					cou	and Commission		f
	22 172.002	AND AN EXCITE A AND AN AND AND					Nabe			-
CC C > >> Go to page: 1 •	Rev count: D T								Showing 1-1 e	sf 1
among that any search Port Citable 1	ALANNAL STATIC AREA.									

IMAGE 29

If user has searched for a judgement and that judgement has overruled the other judgement, the judgement which has overruled is mention in Green colour on the top of the judgement and name is mentioned with its citation, as seen in the picture given below in image no. 30.

Online		🕫 Search 💌 🕼 Print 🖉 Refine Search 💿 Bare Act 🕫 Database 🦳 Welcome [Log Off]
JUDIANERIS	This Judgment has Querruled a HII (200	-
(Equivalent Citation:- VI (2004) SLT 385, 2005 (1) CPR 54 (SC), 2004 (8) S	SCC 56, 2004 (8) JT 607 (SC), 2004 (8) Scale 694, 2004 (8) Supreme 38,	Z) CF3 407 (NC) 70R 2004 SC 3088, 2004 (3) CLT 604 (SC), 2004 (2) CPC 675 (SC), 2004(Supp-5) SCR 359, 2004(9) SRI 515, 2004(4)
This Judgment has Overruled : III (2002) CPJ 407 (NC)		
[000](C)14(SC) [V (000](C)14(SC) [V (000](C)14(SC)		
SUPREME COURT OF INDIA		
B.N. Agrawal & A.K. Mathur, JJ.		
SMT. SAVITA GARG—Appellant		
YPTSB		
The Direct Own And Heart Direct Own And Heart Direct Own and Direct Association and Direct Own And Own And Own And Own And Own And Own And Ow		
Medical Negligence: Non-impleadment of treating doctor as necessary party could not result in distances of original petitions : Duries and functions of National Commission — Law regarding non-joinder of necessary party under CPC, Order 1 Kule 10 here also even no suit shalf all because of mici-joinder or non-joinder of parties — Consumer Form primarity meant to privide better protection in interest of consumers and not to short-circuit matter or defacility of parties — Cannob be placed on patient or family members/relatives to implead all those doctors who treated patient or a university of all there was no negligence involved in restances — Dublic contingencies doctor who treated patient to a threat with all hopital is responsible for acts of their permentent staff as well as staff whose services temporarity requisitioned for treatment = To hobit contingencies. doctors who treated patient that there was no negligence involved in But at same time hospital can discharge burden by producing treating doctor in defence that all due care and cantion takes and despite that patient died — Order passed by National Commission set aside — Consumer Protection Rules, 1987 — Rait 14(1)(b).		
		[Paras 10, 16, 17]
Result : Appeal allowed.		
Cases referred:	and the second se	
1. 1942 (2) All.ER 237. (Dissented)	(Para 12)	
2. 1947 (1) All ER 633. (Referred)	[Para 12]	
3. 1951 (2) KB 343. (Referred)	[Para 14]	
4. III (1995) CPJ 1 (SC)=AIR 1996 SC 550. (Relied)	[Para 13]	
5. 1 (1996) CLT 532 (SC)=1996 (2) SCC 634. (Relied)	[Para 10]	
6. III (1998) SLT 685=1998 (4) SCC 39. (Referred)	[Para Io]	
Counsel for the Parties :		
For the Appellant : Mr. Dinesh Kumar Garg and Mr. Manzoor Ali Khan, Adva	ocates.	
For the Kespondent : Mr. Rajsneknar Rao, Advocate for Mr. Niknu Nayyar, Advocate.		
dubonENI +		
Citation 👻 Decided On	Party Name	d Court 0
IV (2004) CPJ 40 (SC) 12/10/2004	SMT. SAVITA GARG V. THE DIRECTOR, NATIONAL HEART INSTITUTE	Supreme Court of India
Show/Hide Grid Search For: Citation 'Ty (2004) CPJ 40 (SC)'		Showing 1-1 of 1

IMAGE 30

© DLT SOFTWARE SOLUTIONS, 2015

History

If user wants to see the history which all cases he/she has searched then he/she can click on the Icon on the top of the window with the SEARCH Icon an "Arrow" facing downward as seen in the picture given below image no. 31 showing search history.



IMAGE 31

Log Off

Once user completes with his search he can click on the icon "log off" on top right hand side corner of the window as seen in image no. 32.

